## Metropolitan Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) Infrastructure 2006 Transit Management Survey

Janesville-Beloit

AgencyName Contact

FLEET CHARACTERISTICS			2. Total num	hor of vobic		otal number of	
		nber of vehicles venue service:	equipped w	ith Automat cation (AVL	ed AVL t	vehicles equipped with AVL that are operated and maintained as	
	Previous response	Total in 2006	Previous response	Total in 2	006 To	specified otal in 2006	
Fixed Route Bus	S:						
Heavy or Rapid Rai	l:						
Light Rai	l:						
Demand Responsive	9:						
Commuter Rai	l:						
Ferry Boa	t:						
Other (please specify):	' <u>'</u>		N/A				
with real-	T-4-1 :- 0	of are operate maintaine specifie	with le g of e 6. Tota s that w d and disp d as soft ed Prev	T-4	ated control	7. Total number of vehicles where automated dispatching or control software is available that are operated and maintained as specified  Total in 2006	
Heavy or Rapid Rail:							
Light Rail:							
Demand Responsive:							
Commuter Rail:							
Ferry Boat:							
Other (specified in question 1): N/A			\N/	/A			
TRAFFIC SIGNAL PRIORITY:					Previous response	Total in 2006	
8. Number of Fixed Route Buses that have	e traffic signal p	riority capability:		[			
9. Number of Light rail vehicles that have t	raffic signal pri	ority capability:					
10. Number of Demand Responsive vehic	les that have tra	affic signal priority	y capability:				
11. Number of other (as specified in quest	ion 1) vehicles	that have traffic s	signal priority of	apability:	N/A		
RAMP METER SIGNAL PRIORITY:					Previous response	Total in 2006	
12. Number of Fixed Route Buses with rar	np meter signal	priority capability	y:	[			
13. Number of Demand Responsive vehic	les with ramp m	neter signal priori	ty capablity:	[			
14. Number of other (as specified in quest	ion 1) vehicles	with ramp meter	signal priority	capablity:	N/A		

<sup>\*</sup> Software that displays AVL-equipped vehicle locations, vehicle data, and operator data on dispatcher monitors, automated control software for light or heavy rail systems, or automated scheduling software for demand responsive service.

AgencyName Contact

## **VEHICLES OPERATED AS VEHICLE PROBES**

	15. Total number of vehicles operated as vehicle probes on FREEWAYS:		16. Total num operated as vel ARTER	hicle probes on
	Previous response	Total in 2006	Previous response	Total in 2006
Fixed Route Buses:				
Demand Responsive:	N/A		N/A	
Other (as specified in question 1):	N/A		N/A	
ORGANIZED REGIONAL INCIDENT MANA	AGEMENT PROGR	AM:		
17. Does your agency's operators or dispator	hers report traffic ir	cidents (e.g., stalle	d vehicles, crashes)?	
Previous response:	]			
Yes				
Has this reporting system had a	measurable impac	t on customer satisf	faction?	
☐ Yes ☐ No				
□ No				
ELECTRONIC FARE PAYMENT:				
	Vehicles/Stations e n Magnetic Stripe R		9. Vehicle/Stations eq	
Previous	response Tota	al in 2006	Previous response	Total in 2006
Fixed Route Buses:				
Heavy or Rapid Rail Stations:				
Light-Rail Stations:				
Demand Responsive Vehicles:				
Commuter Rail Stations:				
Ferry Boat Landings:				
20. Does your agency electronically store co	llected fare payme	nt data for use in ro	ute and service plannir	ıg?
Previous response:				
☐ Yes ☐ No				
21. Does your agency use the same electro	nic fare payment sy	stem as another Tra	ansit agency in your m	etropolitan area?
Previous response:	<u> </u>		•	
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ No, there are no other Tra	nsit Agencies			

AgencyName			Contact
22. Does you agency use the same electronic fare pay metropolitan area?	ment system that can be	used by other toll colle	ection systems in your
Previous response:			
☐ Yes☐ No☐ No, there is no Toll Collection			
ADVANCED TRAVELER INFORMATION SYSTEM (A	ATIS):		
Please check all the methods your agency uses to dis-	seminate information to th	ne public:	
Transit Routes	used to disseminate s, Schedules, and Fare tion to the public:	24. Methods used to Real-time Transi adherence or Arrival Times to the	t schedule and Departure
Previous respons	e In 2006	Previous response	In 2006
Dedicated cable TV: Automated telephone system: Internet Web sites Pagers or personal data assistants: Interactive TV: Kiosks: Kiosks: Se-mail or other direct PC communication: In-vehicle navigation systems: Variable Message Signs (in vehicle): Monitors/VMS (not in vehicles): Audible Enunciators: Facsimile: 511 Telephone System: 511 Telephone System: Monitors of information dissemination changes.	ecked above had a meas	urable impact on custo	
26. Total number of facilities Previous	27. Total number of far that electronically dis automated or dynar traveler information ( schedule and syste information) to the pu	splay of these mic facilities are e.g., operated and em maintained as	29. Have these display of information had a measurable impact on customer satisfaction?
Rail Stations:  Bus Depots: N/A  Other (please specify): N/A		Total in 2006  Total in 2006	Yes No

AgencyName Contact

electronically traveler info	30. Total number of vehicles that electronically display automated or dynamic traveler information (e.g., schedule and system information) to the public:		ire	32. Has this display of infomation had a measurable impact on customer satisfaction?	
	Total in 2006	Total in 2006		Yes	No
Fixed Route Bus:					
Heavy or Rapid Rail:					
Light Rail:					
Demand Responsive:					
Commuter Rail:					
Ferry Boat:					
Other (as specify in question 1):					
SAFETY AND SECURITY					
33. Total num	ber of vehicles/facilit urveillance to enhanc	ies with audio or these v	ehicle	and/or video surveil s/facilities had a mea n customer satisfaction	surable
	Total in 2006		Yes	No	
Fixed Route Bus:		Fixed Route Bus:			
Heavy or Rapid Rail:		Heavy or Rapid Rail:			
Light Rail:		Light Rail:			
Demand Responsive:		Demand Responsive:			
Commuter Rail:		Commuter Rail:			
Ferry Boat:		Ferry Boat:			
Other vehicles (as specify in question 1):		Other vehicles (as specify in question 1):			
Bus stops:		Bus stops:			
Rail stations:		Rail stations:			
Bus Depots:		Bus Depots:			
Other facilities (as specified in question 26):		Other facilities (as specified in question 26):			
35. Total number of vehicles that can be	e remotely shut dowr	n via wireless communicatio	n:		
Fixed Route Bus:					
Heavy or Rapid Rail:					
,					
TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANA	GEMENT				
36. Did your agency perform a system ☐ Yes	engineering analysis	for any ITS technologies yo	u have	e deployed?	
Did the analysis include a	formal cost-benefit ca	alculation?			
☐ Yes	.c.mai coot bonont de				
□ res					
□ No					

37. Does your agency use data from technologies such as AVL/CAD systems and automatic passenger counter systems, to assist in planning?
☐ Yes ☐ No
38. Are your systems for distributing traveler information via Internet or wireless devices operated and maintaned as specified?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable
39. Have your systems for distributing traveler information via Internet or wireless devices had measurable impact on customer satisfaction?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know ☐ Not Applicable
40. Does your agency employ automatic vehicle location, combined with dispatching and reservation technologies to provide lexible routing and scheduling?
☐ Yes ☐ No
41. Does your agency employ vehicle monitoring and communication technologies to facilitate the coordination of passenger ransfers between vehicle or transit systems?
☐ Yes ☐ No
42. Does your agency provide ride sharing and carpool matching services?
☐ Yes ☐ No
TS ARCHITECTURE AND DATA ARCHIVING
43. Is your agency involved in a formal effort to develop a regional ITS architecture?
☐ Yes
What is the status of the regional ITS architecture?
<ul><li>Our region has a fully developed regional ITS architecture undergoing continuing development and updating</li><li>Our regional ITS architecture is under initial development</li></ul>
How long has your agency been involved in the region's ITS architecture development effort?
<ul> <li>☐ Under 1 year</li> <li>☐ 1-2 years</li> <li>☐ More than 2 years</li> <li>☐ Do not know</li> <li>☐ Not involved</li> </ul>
☐ No, why not?
<ul><li>☐ There is no such effort underway in our region</li><li>☐ There is an effort underway, but we are not involved</li></ul>

Contact

AgencyName

AgencyName 44. Has your agency been involved in the development of ITS data archiving? ☐ Yes, this agency leads the effort ☐ Yes, as a participant No, we are aware of it, but have not been involved □ No ☐ Do not know SYSTEM COST INFORMATION 45. Please provide the implementation year, vendor name, system cost and annual operating cost for any ITS technologies that your agency has implemented in the last 5 years Annual Year of Operating System Implementation Vendor Cost Cost Automatic Vehicle Location Systems Real-time monitoring of Vehicle components or subsystems **Automated Dispatching or Control** Software, Computer-Aided Dispatching (CAD) Systems to use transit vehicles as probes of speed, travel time, and conditions of freeways and arterials System for real-time reporting of incidents Systems for collection and management of fares Audio and Video surveillance, including related communications, storage, processing and analysis systems Automated passenger counting systems, including communication, storage and analysis systems to make use of the information Internet systems and services needed to support dissemination of information, including schedules, outages, automated routing, pricing Systems to support ride sharing and carpool matching services Other ITS systems (please specify)

Contact